

Federal Awards Reports in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance

December 31, 2016

City of Fargo, North Dakota



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance.	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Ouestioned Costs	9



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Commission City of Fargo, North Dakota Fargo, North Dakota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Fargo, North Dakota (the City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 9, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Bismarck, North Dakota

God Sailly LLP

June 9, 2017



Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Commission City of Fargo, North Dakota Fargo, North Dakota

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Fargo, North Dakota's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect of each of its major Federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 9, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Bismarck, North Dakota

Side Sailly LLP

June 9, 2017

	CFDA Numbers	Agency or Pass Through Number	Expenditures				Amounts Passed- Through to Subrecipients
Department of Agriculture							
Indirect Federal Funding passed through- North Dakota Department of Health Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	G15.293A & G15.880		\$ 440,297	\$	440,297	
Total Department of Agriculture					Ф	440,297	
Department of Housing and Urban Development							
Direct Federal Funding:							
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.218 14.239	N/A N/A		530,884 682,246			15,983
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-							
North Dakota Division of Community Services							
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.228 14.231	2457-NSP09 4017-ESG16		84,291 17,969			
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development						1,315,390	15,983
Department of the Interior	_						
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-							
North Dakota State Historical Society Historic Preservation Fund Grants In-Aid	15.904	38-2016-141296-27		5,571			
	15.704	30 2010 1112/0 2/		3,571			
Total Department of the Interior						5,571	
Department of Justice	_						
Direct Federal Funding: Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	N/A		78,906			
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-							
Cass County Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2016-H3010-ND-DJ	48,570				
State of North Dakota Office of Attorney General	16.738	14 204 % 15217	15 570				15 570
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program JAG Program Cluster	10.736	14-204 & 15217	15,579	64,149			15,579
Total Department of Justice						143,055	15,579
•							
Department of Transportation	_						
Direct Federal Funding: Airport Improvement Program	20.106	N/A		12 791 002			
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	N/A N/A	2,649,920	12,781,003			
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500	N/A	13,291				
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-							
North Dakota Department of Transportation							
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500	38150106B	39,231				
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program	20.526	38160122	616,000				
Federal Transit Cluster				3,318,442			
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities National Priority Safety Programs	20.513 20.616	38151873 & 38151476A PHSPOP1605-05-06, PHSPID1610-02-08, PHSPID1610-03-02, PHSPDD1611-02-04, PHSPDD1610-12-04, PHSPOP1705-05-09,		144,292			
		PHSPID1710-02-08, &					
		PHSPID1710-12-04		48,584			
Total Department of Transportation						16,292,321	

	CFDA Numbers	Agency or Pass Through Number	Expenditures		Amounts Passed- Through to Subrecipients
National Endowment for the Humanities					
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-					
North Dakota Humanities Council					
Promotion of the Humanities Federal/State Partnership	45.129	16112	1,200		
Total National Endowment for the Humanities				1,200	
Environmental Protection Agency					
Direct Federal Funding:					
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements	66.818	N/A	3,168		
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-					
North Dakota Department of Health					
Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds	66.458	380715-02	9,386,878		
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	66.468	0900336-03	17,441,011		
Performance Partnership Grants	66.605	G13.1245, G15.820, &			
		G15.255	2,276		
TI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				26 822 222	
Total Environmental Protection Agency				26,833,333	
D					
Department of Energy Indirect Federal Funding passed through-					
North Dakota Division of Community Services					
State Energy Program	81.041	3883-SEP15-B	29,024		
Total Department of Energy				29,024	
Department of Health and Human Services					
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-					
North Dakota Department of Health					
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	G15.117, G15.729A, G15.764,			
		15.069, 15.097, & 15.716	383,919		10,228
Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control					
Programs	93.116	PF15.134	48,651		
Family Planning Services	93.217	G15.044 & G15.672	174,693		
Immunization Cooperative Agreements Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases	93.268 93.323	15.422A 15.519	8,554 2,000		
PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health	93.539	15.422A	76,984		
Immunization Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by	75.557	13.122.1	70,50		
Prevention and Public Health Funds					
Cancer Prevention and Control Programs	93.752	PF15.025A & PF15.207	71,035		
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant funded solely		G15.354, G15.384, G15.943, &			
with PPHF	93.758	G15.954	33,852		
HIV Care Formula Grants	93.917	PF13.324B & PF15.152	202,559		
HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based	93.940	PF15.113	13,904		
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	G13.895A & G15.541	78,567		
Lutheran Social Services					
Refugee and Entrant Assistance Discretionary Grants	93.576	90RX0245-02 & 90RX0245-03	15,487		
Total Department of Health and Human Services				1,110,205	10,228
Total Department of Treatm and Truman Services				1,110,203	10,228
Department of Homeland Security					
Direct Federal Funding:					
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	N/A	90,910		
Indirect Federal Funding passed through-					
State of North Dakota Department of Emergency Services					
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	DR4118	1,511		
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039	FEMA-1981-DR-30-R, FEMA- 1981-DR-44-R, & FEMA-1981-			
Hamaland County County De	97.067	DR-9-R 35, 48, 66, 67, & 92	5,438,778 82,501		
Homeland Security Grant Program	71.001	55, 1 0, 00, 07, & 92	62,301	E (10 500	
Total Office of Homeland Security				5,613,700	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards				\$ 51,784,096	\$ 41,790

Note A – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Costs Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. The City received federal awards both directly from federal agencies and indirectly through pass-through entities. Federal financial assistance provided to a subrecipient is treated as an expenditure when it is paid to the subrecipient.

Note B – Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are recognized on the modified accrual basis – when they become a demand on current available financial resources. The City's summary of significant accounting policies is presented in Note 1 in the City's basic financial statements.

The City has not elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate.

Note C - Loan Programs

As of December 31, 2016, the City's liability relating to the Storm Sewer, Water, and Waste Water Expansion – State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans (CFDA # 66.458 and 66.468) is \$86,154,462.

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued

Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses identified No

Significant deficiencies identified not

considered to be material weaknesses

None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?

FEDERAL AWARDS

Internal control over major program:

Material weaknesses identified No

Significant deficiencies identified not

considered to be material weaknesses

None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in

accordance with Uniform Guidance 2 CFR 200.516:

Identification of major programs:

Name of Federal Program CFDA Number

Federal Transit Cluster 20.500, 20.507, 20.526

Airport Improvement Program 20.106 Hazard Mitigation Grant 97.039

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A

and type B programs: \$ 1,553,523

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

No findings reported

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No findings reported

No findings reported in the prior year