#### Design Recommendations for the 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue S Street Reconstruction Project





#### Overview

- Use of Federal Funds Categorical Exclusion (Catex)
- Public Input
- What influences our decisions?
- Proposed changes general and specific
- Consider optional items (turn lanes)
- Make decision for decision document



#### Use of Federal Funds

- Use of Federal Funds (\$13.19 million total) requires compliance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
  - We must "evaluate the environmental and related social and economic effects" of our proposed actions.
  - We must also "provide opportunities for public review and comment on those evaluations".
- A project like this one does not have a "significant effect on the human environment" and therefore does not require an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement as normally required.
- Instead, a Categorical Exclusion (Catex) is used.
  - Benefits of Catex: reduces paperwork and saves time and resources.



#### Categorical Exclusion (Catex)

- Catex requires a decision document with alternatives.
  - Must evaluate the do nothing option (No Build)
  - Must also evaluate the impacts of the proposed build option(s).
    - We can always have less impact than what was approved in the Catex, but to be more impactful would require an addendum to the Catex



#### Categorical Exclusion (Catex)

- Why do we need a decision from you?
  - The Catex has a decision document that must be filled out.
  - Once a decision is made, we can then submit the Catex to Federal Highway Administration for review and approval. This meets the NEPA requirement for the utilization of Federal Funds (\$13.19 million).



#### Categorical Exclusion (Catex)

- What comes next?
  - After the Catex is approved, we then move into the design phase.
  - That is when we will decide items like:
    - Length of turn lanes
    - Median locations
    - Median and boulevard widths
    - Planting islands and landscaping items



#### Public Input


- Public Comment Period
  - When was it?
    - August 12, 2020 to August 27, 2020
  - How did we get comments?
    - Interactive Map 42 Comments
    - Facebook 27 Comments



#### Public Input – All Public Input

Pedestrian/Bike

■ Utility/Other





#### Open House Meeting

- When was it?
  - Wednesday, August 12, 2020 from 4pm to 6pm
- Where was it?
  - Meeting was held outside at Rheault Farm
- Who attended?
  - Members of the Public 6
  - City of Fargo Employees 4
  - Apex Engineering (Project Consultant) 2
  - Flint Group (Project PR Firm) 2



#### What influences our decisions on design?

- Public Input
- Crash Data
- Traffic Operations Study
- Existing Plans and Studies
  - 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave S Corridor Study
  - Go2030
  - Fargo/West Fargo Parking & Access Study
  - Metro GROW 2045 F-M Transportation Plan
  - Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan



#### What influences our design decisions cont'd?

- Evaluation of pedestrian/bike routes and usage
- Evaluation of transit routes
- Discussion with other departments
  - Planning, Public Works, Forestry
- Prioritization of items
  - Safety
  - Tree Preservation
  - Traffic flow and pedestrian environment
- Experience on other corridors (lessons learned)
- Very limited right-of-way
  - Only 100', typical arterial is 200'



#### What are some of the changes being proposed?

- Driving Lanes
  - Reduced from 12 feet to 11 feet
  - Benefits:
    - Increase boulevard and sidewalk width
    - Reduce crossing distance for pedestrians
    - Traffic calming
    - Reduces costs
- Center Lane/Center Median
  - Reduced in width from 19 feet to 12 feet
  - Benefits:
    - Increase boulevard and sidewalk width
    - Reduce crossing distance for pedestrians



#### What are some of the changes being proposed?

- Increased boulevard and sidewalk widths
  - Benefits:
    - Creates larger buffer between vehicles and pedestrians, which creates a friendlier pedestrian environment
    - Minimizes disturbance to existing boulevard trees
    - Allows proper boulevard width for future tree growth
    - Wider sidewalks allow people to walk side-by-side and allows for easier passing
    - Increased snow storage
- Improved street lighting
  - Benefits:
    - Improves safety
    - Reduced energy usage




#### Project Location Map






#### Road cross section – Existing & Proposed

Existing >



← Curb to Curb is 71'


Proposed →



← Curb to Curb is 60'



#### Segment 1 - 32<sup>nd</sup> Street to 28<sup>th</sup> Street






## Segment 1 - 32<sup>nd</sup> Street to 28<sup>th</sup> Street





# Segment 1 - 28<sup>th</sup> Street to 25<sup>th</sup> Street






# Segment 1 - 25<sup>th</sup> Street to 22<sup>nd</sup> Street





#### Option 1 – Eastbound right turn lane at 28th Street




Turn lane would require additional right-of-way and would increase crossing distance for pedestrians. Boulevard spacing would also be reduced with the turn lane. We are recommending no turn lane at 28<sup>th</sup> St.




# Options 2-4 – EB right turn lane at 25<sup>th</sup> Street

Existing



With Right Turn Lane




Eastbound turn lane currently exists. We recommend that the turn lane remain. Removal of the eastbound turn lane would significantly increase traffic stacking.



## Options 2-4 – NB right turn lane at 25<sup>th</sup> Street






Northbound turn lane does not currently exist. Would require the acquisition of addition right-of-way. We recommend that a right turn lane is not installed.



# Options 2-4 – WB right turn lane at 25<sup>th</sup> Street





Westbound turn lane currently exists. We recommend that a right turn lane is not installed. This will allow for wider boulevards and a shorter pedestrian crossing.



#### Crossing Distance for Optional Turn Lanes

Option/Location	Right Turn Lane Currently Exist?	Crossing Distance: Existing	Crossing Distance: w/ Right Turn Lane	Crossing Distance: w/o Right Turn Lane
Option 1: 28 <sup>th</sup> St (EB)	No	71'	70.5′	60′
Option 2: 25 <sup>th</sup> St (EB)	Yes	83'	70.5′	60'
Option 3: 25 <sup>th</sup> St (NB)	No	64'	70.5′	60'
Option 4: 25 <sup>th</sup> St (WB)	Yes	83'	70.5′	60'

Denotes our recommendation



## Segment 2 – 22<sup>nd</sup> Street to Milwaukee Trail





#### Segment 2 – Milwaukee Trail to Hornbacher's



#### Segment 2 – Hornbacher's to University Drive





#### Next Steps

- Segment 1 (32<sup>nd</sup> St to 22<sup>nd</sup> St):
  - Complete <u>Segment 1</u> final design (plans due in November)
  - Bid Segment 1 project in February 2022 (NDDOT)
  - Begin Segment 1 Construction in April 2022
  - Complete Segment 1 Construction in October 2022
- Segment 2 (22<sup>nd</sup> St through University Dr):
  - Return in early 2023 for review of <u>Segment 2</u> decision and amend decision if necessary
  - Complete final design for <u>Segment 2</u> in 2023
  - Bid Segment 2 project in February 2024 (NDDOT)
  - Construct Segment 2 in 2024 calendar year



I. Executive Decisions			
Do you concur with the project concepts as proposed?			
XYes			
No			
Which alternative per segment should proceed with the project?			
Segment #1 - 32 <sup>nd</sup> St S to 22 <sup>nd</sup> St S			
Alternative A - No Build: Estimated Cost \$0			
X Alternative B1 - Concrete 5-Lane Reconstruction W/ Flush Median:  Estimated Cost \$8,430,000			
Which options should proceed with Alternative B1?			
Yes Option 1 – Eastbound right turn lane at 28 <sup>th</sup> St:  X No Estimated Additional Cost \$45,000			
X Yes Option 2 – Eastbound right turn lane at 25 <sup>th</sup> St: No Estimated Additional Cost \$45,000			
Yes Option 3 – Northbound right turn lane at 25 <sup>th</sup> St:  X No Estimated Additional Cost \$45,000			
Yes Option 4 – Westbound right turn lane at 25 <sup>th</sup> St:  X No Estimated Additional Cost \$45,000			
Segment #2 – 22 <sup>nd</sup> St S to Red River of the North			
Alternative A – No Build: Estimated Cost \$0			
X Alternative B1 - Concrete 5-Lane Reconstruction W/ Flush Median:  Estimated Cost \$11,170,000			



# Questions?


#### Recommended Motion:

To concur with Project Concepts as shown, and select Alternative B1 as the build alternative to move forward for both Segment 1 and Segment 2, 'Yes' for Option 2, and select 'No' for Options 1, 3 and 4.






#### Public Input – Interactive Map




#### Public Input – Facebook





#### Raised Median or No-Median... What is the difference?

#### Raised Median



#### No-Median

